FELASA recommendations for the health monitoring of breeding colonies and experimental units of cats, dogs and pigs

Report of the Federation of European Laboratory Animal Science Associations (FELASA) Working Group on Animal Health

FELASA Working Group on Animal Health: C. Rehbinder (Scand-LAS), Convener; P. Baneux (SFEA); D. Forbes (LASA); H. van Herck (NVP); W. Nicklas (GV-SOLAS); Z. Rugaya (Balt-LASA); G. Winkler (SGV)

FELASA, BCM Box 2989, London WC1N 3XX, UK

Contents

Introduction	1
1 General considerations	2
2 Inspection of the colony	2
3 Monitoring procedures	3
4 Health monitoring report	3
5 Cat	5
6 Dog	6
7 Pig	7
References	9
FFI ASA approved health monitoring report forms	10

Introduction

The health of an animal is always at risk from a variety of infections. Infections in animals, whether clinically manifest or subclinical may, when the animals are used in biomedical research, produce effects that change the outcome of the experiments undertaken. Depending upon the specific infection a variety of biological parameters may be affected such as behaviour, growth rate, relative organ weights, immune response, tumour development etc. Subclinical infections can also lead to contamination of

Note: Reprints of this Report are available free of charge (while stocks last) from the Secretary, FELASA, BCM Box 2989, London WC1N 3XX, UK biological materials, tissue cultures, celllines, transplantable tumours and biological products. All infections, apparent or inapparent, are likely to increase biological variability. In addition, some animal infections are transmissible to man.

For all these reasons, an animal health monitoring programme is important, decreasing the risk of zoonotic infection and adding to the reliability and reproducibility of research data. These recommendations propose such programmes for pigs, dogs and cats, specifically bred and used for biomedical research, with the intention of harmonizing procedures and achieving similar standards of testing within the FELASA member countries. Another goal of these recommendations is to ensure that health monitoring

reports have a common standard and format, identifying the presence or absence of specific microorganisms in laboratory animal colonies.

1. General considerations

- 1.1 Depending upon local variations throughout Europe, the number of agents monitored will vary from country to country. Diseases declared to be absent in a region by a national authority do not need to be monitored. Actual practice may exceed these recommendations in various ways, depending on local circumstances—for example colony size, regional prevalence of specific organisms, intended use of progeny or existence of national monitoring schemes. Additional investigations may be deemed necessary. The results of these investigations should be reported.
- 1.2 These recommendations are intended for all breeding colonies and experimental units of cats, dogs and pigs used for biomedical research.
- 1.3 Each breeding unit to be monitored is considered to be a self-contained microbiological entity.
- 1.4 Detailed written procedures Standing Operating Procedures (SOPs) within monitoring laboratories must be available
- 1.5 Monitoring laboratories should follow the principles of Good Laboratory Practice (GLP) where applicable and participate in a Quality Assurance Programme.
- 1.6 An agent must be declared as present if it is identified or if antibodies to it are detected in the animals screened, with the exception of vaccinated animals (see 1.11). It should be emphasized that negative results mean only that the presence of the microorganisms monitored has not been demonstrated in the animals screened by the test(s) used. The results are not necessarily a reflection of the status of all the animals in the breeding unit.
- 1.7 The presence of antibodies against organisms for which the animals have not

- been vaccinated is an indicator of infection in the colony. The actual presence of the agent, when still remaining in the animal, can be verified using methods other than serology.
- 1.8 Equivocal or unexpected positive serological test results must be confirmed by an alternative test method and/or repeated investigation.
- 1.9 Written copies of vaccination and/or deworming policies should be provided.
- 1.10 When deworming, the brand name and the date and dose must be recorded. Information on manufacturer, batch number and expiry date of the product should also be recorded.
- 1.11 Most cats, dogs and pigs are vaccinated according to general conditions (non-barrier) of the breeding colony and buyers' requirements, on request and according to import/export regulations. The brand name of the vaccine, the dose used, and the date must be recorded. Information on manufacturer, batch number and expiry date of the product should also be recorded. Monitoring of agents against which the colony is vaccinated is not mandatory and is undertaken only when requested.

2. Inspection of the colony

A clinical health monitoring programme shall be established under the direction of a veterinarian. The health status of the colony should be assessed by the veterinarian at least every month.

All animals will be observed daily by an animal technician. Any signs of disease among the animals should be immediately reported to the veterinarian in charge of the animal health monitoring. Unusual or unexpected occurrences should be investigated by suitable diagnostic methods in accordance with accepted veterinary practices. The presence of organisms and lesions listed in these recommendations and the results of clinical and pathological examinations during the preceding 3-month period should be part of the health monitoring report. Results obtained from other diagnostic investigations should be made available on request.

Table 1 Health monitoring of laboratory cats, dogs and pigs: sample size and frequency

	Sample size		Testing/anin	nal	
Sampling frequency	Age	No. of animals	Viruses	Bacteria	Parasites
Every 3 months	Weanlings	≥2	_	+*	+†
	2–7 months*	≥4	+	+	+
	≥8 months*	≥ 4	+	+	+

^{*}If not available, increase the number of samples from the other age group(s)

†If not available at the time of scheduled testing, test for parasites later when available

3. Monitoring procedures

3.1 Laboratory investigations

All samples obtained in connection with routine health monitoring are to be taken from live animals. However, additional samples may be obtained from dead or euthanized animals. Samples (bacteriology, serology, parasitology) are preferably monitored individually (see Table 1).

3.2 The scope of the screening programme A minimum of 10 animals, randomly selected, should be sampled at least every three months or according to the respective national disease control programmes and import/export regulations.

Infectious diseases that do not need to be monitored are those included in an official, national governmental screening programme (but with the results included in the health monitoring report), diseases officially declared absent in that region and diseases for which the animals are vaccinated.

Some agents are to be monitored on request or

- when associated with lesions
- when associated with clinical signs of
- when there is evidence of perturbation of physiological or experimental parameters and/or breeding performance.

4. Health monitoring report

The main purpose of the health monitoring of experimental units is to supply investigators with data on variables that might influence the outcome of an experiment. These data are part of the experimental work and have to be considered during the inter-

pretation of the experimental results by the investigator and by the readers of a publication. Results of health monitoring should, therefore, be included in scientific publications. While FELASA cannot accept responsibility for tests or their implications, breeders or users of laboratory animals who are reporting the health monitoring of their animals may use the words 'in accordance with FELASA recommendations' but only where that is in fact the case. The report should also include, when related to colonywide measures, a note of the occasional or regular use of antibiotics and other microbiologically active substances.

4.1 General information on each report The title of the report should be FELASA-Approved Health Monitoring Report.

This wording can only be used if the methods, frequency, sample size, species-list of organisms monitored and reported are in full accordance with the recommendations published by FELASA. The design of the report could be changed, but only if it incorporates the data requested in the recommendations. At the top of each report should be: date of the report, date animals tested, the species and breed, the identification of the colony or unit, the date when the colony was established and month and year when it was last rederived or restocked.

Description of the strain/stock screened is as follows: name of the species, followed by the current accepted nomenclature.

4.2 Lay-out of the report with respect to microorganisms monitored and the colony status

Except for general information (see section 4.1) the report is divided into five columns,

the first listing the microorganisms monitored, the second recording the historical status of the colony (section 4.4), the third giving the results of the current screen (section 4.5) the fourth recording the laboratory carrying out the test and the fifth column showing the method used (section 4.3). All samples should be monitored individually. Species names of microorganisms should be used in preference to the more general generic names. The suggested test methods are given as illustrations of current available techniques. In general the most appropriate and updated methods should be used.

4.3 Listing of microorganisms, methods and names of monitoring laboratories

The organisms detailed in these recommendations should be listed alphabetically in their appropriate sections in the order: 1st section: viruses; 2nd section: bacteria, mycoplasma, and fungi; 3rd section: parasites. Current accepted abbreviations for microorganisms may be used in the report. The full or abbreviated name of the laboratory carrying out the test must be recorded for each organism/agent, but where it is abbreviated the full name must be given at the bottom of the report.

Where both a method and laboratory name are to be recorded, they should be in the order: microorganism, laboratory, method (Rehbinder *et al.* 1996).

4.4 Historical status of the colony
Against each organism must be recorded:

Pos if the organism has ever been detected (i.e. positive).

Neg if the organism has never been detected in previous screens (i.e. negative).

NE if the organism has not been included in the health monitoring programme (i.e. not examined).

4.5 Current health monitoring results Each organism must be recorded:

Pos/tested if the organism has been detected in the current screen of animals (number of animals positive out of numbers tested).

Neg if the organism has not been detected in the current screen of animals.

NE if the organism has not been examined for in the current screen of animals.

The results of special investigations of unusual or unexpected occurrences should be reported separately.

4.6 Additional information

Any additional information should be given on a separate sheet accompanying the main report and not on the FELASA-Approved Health Monitoring Report itself. If an

Table 2 Monitoring of viral infections (cat)

List of viral infections to be serologically monitored: Virus	Suitable test methods
Feline calicivirus	NT
Feline immunodeficiency virus (FIV)	ELISA, Western blot
Feline infectious peritonitis virus (coronavirus) (FIP)	ELISA, PCR
Feline parvovirus	ELISA
Feline rhinotracheitis virus	NT
List of viral infections to be monitored by other methods:	
Antigen	Suitable test methods
Feline intestinal coronavirus	Detection of antigen in faeces by ELISA; EM or latex-agglutination
Feline leukaemia virus (FeLV)	Detection of antigen in serum by ELISA
Rotavirus	Detection of antigen in faeces by ELISA; EM or latex-agglutination

ELISA=enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay; EM=electron microscopy; IFA=immunofluorescence assay; NT=neutralization test; PCR=polymerase chain reaction

Table 3	Monitoring	of bacterial	infections ((cat)
---------	------------	--------------	--------------	-------

List of bacterial and fungal infections to be monitored of Agent/Antigen	ompulsorily: Suitable test method
Bartonella spp.	Culture
Bordetella bronchiseptica	Culture
Campylobacter spp.	Culture
Chlamydia psittaci	Serology
Microsporum spp.	Culture
Pasteurellaceae	Culture
Salmonella spp.	Culture
Staphylococcus spp. (when associated with lesions)	Culture
Streptococci beta-haemolytic serogroup G	Culture
Trichophyton spp.	Culture
Yersinia enterocolitica	Culture
Bacterial infection to be monitored on request:	
Agent	Suitable test method
Helicobacter spp.	Culture

Table 4 Monitoring of parasites (cat)

Compulsory list of parasites to be monitored:
All arthropods
All helminths
Eperythrozoon felis
Haemobartonella felis
Isospora spp.
Sarcocystis spp.
Toxoplasma gondii
Examples of parasites to be monitored on request:
Giardia spp.
Ollulanus tricuspis (necropsy)*
*Histopathological evaluation of gastric mucosa when

^{*}Histopathological evaluation of gastric mucosa when available due to death or from euthanasia or other causes

infection is discovered outside of the routine monitoring schedule, users should be informed immediately.

5. Cat

Viral infections (Table 2)

Equivocal or unexpected positive serological test results must be confirmed by an alternative test method and/or repeated investigation.

Bacterial and fungal infections

Culturing is the method of choice unless otherwise stated. Bacteriological investigations must always include the use of nonselective, as well as selective, media.

Table 5 Monitoring of viral infections (dog)

List of viral infections to be serologically monitored when present in the country:		
Virus	Suitable test methods	
Canine adenovirus type 1 (HCC)	CF, NT	
Canine distemper virus	ELISA, NT, IFA	
Canine parainfluenza virus	ELISA, HI	
Canine parvovirus (CPV)	ELISA, HI	
List of viral infections to be nother methods:	nonitored on request by	
Antigen	Suitable test methods	
Intestinal coronavirus when associated with disease	Detection of antigen in faeces by ELISA; EM or latex-agglutination	
Rotavirus, when associated with disease	Detection of antigen in faeces by ELISA; EM or latex-agglutination	

CF=complement fixation test; ELISA=enzyme linked immunosorbent assay; EM=electron microscopy; HI=haemagglutination inhibition test; IFA=immunofluorescence assay; NT=neutralization test

Serological methods exist for the detection of antibodies to various pathogens.

Samples to be investigated

Samples from the following sites must be cultured: tonsillary region (swab), skin/hair (combed sample), faeces (fresh faecal material collected by a suitable method) (Table 3).

Table 6 Monitoring of bacterial infections ((dog)	ì
--	-------	---

Agent/Antigen	Suitable method
Bordetella bronchiseptica	Culture
Borrelia spp.	Serology
Brucella canis	Culture
Leptospira spp.	Serology
Salmonella spp.	Culture
Streptococci beta-haemolytic, serogroup G	Culture
Bacterial and fungal infections to be monitored with lesions or clinical signs:	•
with lesions or clinical signs: Agent/Antigen	Suitable test method
with lesions or clinical signs: Agent/Antigen Campylobacter spp.	Suitable test method
with lesions or clinical signs: Agent/Antigen Campylobacter spp. Ehrlichia spp.	Suitable test method Culture Serology, PCR
with lesions or clinical signs: Agent/Antigen Campylobacter spp. Ehrlichia spp. Escherichia coli	Suitable test method Culture Serology, PCR Culture
with lesions or clinical signs: Agent/Antigen Campylobacter spp. Ehrlichia spp. Escherichia coli Microsporum spp.	Suitable test method Culture Serology, PCR Culture Culture
with lesions or clinical signs: Agent/Antigen Campylobacter spp. Ehrlichia spp. Escherichia coli Microsporum spp. Pasteurellaceae	Suitable test method Culture Serology, PCR Culture Culture Culture Culture
with lesions or clinical signs: Agent/Antigen Campylobacter spp. Ehrlichia spp. Escherichia coli Microsporum spp. Pasteurellaceae Staphylococcus spp.	Suitable test method Culture Serology, PCR Culture Culture Culture Culture Culture
with lesions or clinical signs: Agent/Antigen Campylobacter spp. Ehrlichia spp. Escherichia coli Microsporum spp. Pasteurellaceae	Suitable test method Culture Serology, PCR Culture Culture Culture Culture

Parasitology

Routine methodology.

Faecal flotation.

Microscopic examination of wet mounts. Microscopic examination for *Otodectes cynotis*.

Blood smears stained with May-Grünwald-Giemsa for the screening of *Haemobartonella felis*.

Serum samples examined for the presence of antibodies to *Toxoplasma gondii*.

The organisms in Table 4 must be included

in the final report of results, with a declaration of whether they have been detected or not (numbers of animals positive), or not examined (Table 4).

6. Dog

Viral infections (Table 5)

Bacterial and fungal infections

Culturing is the method of choice unless otherwise stated. Bacteriological investigations must always include the use

Table 7 Monitoring of parasites (dog)

Compulsory list of parasites to be monitored:

All arthropods: (Demodex sp., dermal scrapings only when associated with lesions,

Sarcoptes scabei, serology and/or dermal scrapings)

All heminths

Coccidiae

Giardia spp.

Haemobartonella canis: blood smears

Examples of parasites to be monitored on request:

Angiostrongylus vasorum

Babesia spp.: serology, blood smear Dipetalonema reconditum: blood smear

Dirofilaria immitis: blood smear

Filaroides spp.*

Leishmania spp.: serology

Pneumonyssus caninum: serology or direct examination at necropsy

^{*}Histopathological evaluation for Filaroides spp. in lung tissue when available due to death or from euthanasia for other causes

Table 8 Monitoring of viral infec

List of viral infections to be serologically monitored, wh Virus	en present in the country (see 1.1): Suitable test method
African swine fever	ELISA
Aujeszky disease virus (pseudorabies)	ELISA
Classical swine fever (hog cholera)	ELISA
Encephalomyocarditis virus	ELISA, PCR
Haemagglutinating encephalomyelitis	HA, NT, ELISA
Porcine cytomegalovirus (inclusion body rhinitis)	NT
Porcine influenza (H1N1), (H3N2)	ELISA, HI
Porcine parvovirus	ELISA, HI
Porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome (PRRS)	ELISA
SMEDI	NT
Teschen/Talfan disease virus	IFA, NT
Transmissible gastroenteritis (TGE)	ELISA
List of viral infections to be monitored by other method	s:
Antigen	Suitable test method
Porcine epidemic diarrhoea (when associated with	Detection of antigen in faeces by
disease)	ELISA; EM or latex-agglutination
Porcine rotavirus	Detection of antigen in faeces by ELISA; EM or latex-agglutination
Examples of viral infections to be monitored on request	and when present in the country:
Antigen	Suitable test method
Foot and mouth disease virus (FMD)	ELISA
Porcine respiratory coronavirus	ELISA
Swine vesicular disease virus (SVDV)	ELISA
Vesicular exanthema virus (VEV)	NT .
Vesicular stomatitis virus of swine (VSVS)	NT

ELISA=enzyme linked immunosorbent assay; EM=electron microscopy; HA=haemagglutination test; HI=haemagglutination inhibition test; IFA=immunofluorescence assay; NT=neutralization test; PCR=polymerase chain reaction

of non-selective, as well as selective, media. Serological methods exist for the detection of antibodies to various pathogens e.g. *Leptospira* spp., *Borrelia* spp. and *Ehrlichia canis*. Other validated methods may be used.

Samples to be investigated

Samples from the following sites must be cultured: tonsillary region (swab), skin/hair (combed sample), faeces (fresh material collected by a suitable method) (Table 6).

Parasitology

Faecal flotation and sedimentation.
Microscopic examination of wet mounts.
Microscopic examination for *Otodectes*cynotis.

Blood smears stained with May-Grünewald-Giemsa for the screening of *Haemobartonella* canis (Table 7).

Special attention should be given to ectoparasites such as fleas, lice, ticks and mites. Inspection should be performed at an appropriate time after any use of an ectoparasiticide.

7. Pig

Viral infections (Table 8)

Equivocal or unexpected positive serological test results must be confirmed by an alternative test method and/or repeated investigation.

Bacterial, mycoplasmal and fungal infections

Culturing is the method of choice unless otherwise stated. Bacteriological investigations must always include the use

List of bacterial and mycoplasmal infections to be monitored	compulsorily:
Agent/Antigen	Suitable test method
Actinobacillus pleuropneumoniae	Serology
Bordetella bronchiseptica	Culture
Erysipelothrix rhusiopathiae	Culture, serology
Eubacterium (Corynebacterium) suis	Culture
Haemophilus parasuis	Culture, serology
Leptospira spp.	Serology
Mycoplasma hyopneumoniae	Culture, serology
Pasteurella multocida (toxin producing)	Culture, serology, demonstration of toxin by ELISA
Salmonella spp.	Culture
Staphylococcus hyicus	Culture when associated with skin lesions
Streptococci beta-haemolytic	Culture, designation of Lancefield group if possible
Streptococcus suis	Culture
Yersinia enterocolitica	Culture
Examples of bacterial and fungal infections to be monitored	on request:
Agent/Antigen	Suitable test method
Actinomyces pyogenes	Culture
Brucella suis	Culture
Clostridium perfringens	Culture
Escherichia coli when associated with enteric disease	Culture, designation of serotype if possible
Microsporum spp.	Culture
Serpulina hyodysenteriae	Culture and serology
Trichophyton spp.	Culture

of non-selective, as well as selective, media. Serological methods exist for the detection of antibodies to various pathogens e.g. Actinobacillus pleuropneumoniae, Haemophilus parasuis, Leptospira spp., Mycoplasma hyopneumonia and others.

Samples to be investigated

Samples from the following sites must be cultured: nose (swab), faeces (fresh faecal

material collected by a suitable method) (Table 9).

Parasitology

Routine methodology including faecal flotation. Serology for *Toxoplasma gondii* and *Trichinella spiralis*. Individual blood/serum samples.

No anthelmintic or ectoparasite treatment should have been undertaken within 10 weeks before sampling.

Table 10 Monitoring of parasites (pig)

Compulsory list of parasites to be monitored:

All helminths

Eimeria spp.
Isospora spp.
Sarcoptes sp. (other arthropods when associated with lesions)

Examples of parasites to be monitored on request:

Cryptosporidium parvum
(Ziehl-Neelsen staining, IFA)

Eperythrozoon suis
(serology HA)

Toxoplasma gondii
(serology)

Trichinella
(serology)

Sampling time for parasitological examination should be immediately before retreatment with a parasiticide or when consistent with the sanitary policy (Table 10).

This document was compiled using the combined expertise of the Working Group and information contained in the following key references:

- Acha PN (1987) Zoonoses and Communicable Diseases Common to Man and Animals, 2nd edn.
 Washington, DC: Pan American Health Organization
- Appel MJ (1987) Virus Infections of Carnivores. Amsterdam: Elsevier Science Publishers BV
- Bornstein S (1995) Sarcoptes scabiei Infections of the Domestic Dog, Red Fox and Pig. Clinical and Serodiagnostic Studies. Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Sveriges Lantbruksuniversitet, Uppsala
- Chandler EA, Gaskell CJ, Gaskell RM (1994) Feline Medicine and Therapeutics. Oxford: Blackwell Scientific Publications
- Ettinger SJ, Feldman EC (1995) Textbook of Veterinary Internal Medicine Diseases of the Dog and Cat, 4th edn. Philadelphia: WB Saunders
- Gaskell RM, Bennett M, Tenna B, Willoughby K (1996) Feline and Canine Infectious Diseases. Oxford: Blackwell Science
- Georgi JR, Georgi ME (1992) Canine Clinical Parasitology. Philadelphia: Lea & Febiger
- Grant DI (1991) Skin Diseases in the Dog and Cat, 2nd edn. Oxford: Blackwell Scientific Library of Veterinary Practice
- Greene CE (1990) Infectious Diseases of the Dog and Cat. Philadelphia: WB Saunders
- Hamm TE Jr, ed. (1985) Complications of Viral and Mycoplasmal Infection in Rodents to Toxicology Research and Testing. London: McGraw-Hill
- Kraft V, Deeny AA, Blanchet HM, Boot R, Hansen AK, Hem A, van Herck H, Kunstýr I, Milite G, Needham JR, Nicklas W, Perrot A, Rehbinder C, Richard Y, De Vroey G (1994) Report of the FELASA Working Group on Animal Health. Recommendations for the health monitoring of mouse, rat,

- hamster, guineapig and rabbit breeding colonies. *Laboratory Animals* **28**, 1–12
- LABA/LASA Guidelines for the care of laboratory animals in transit (1992) Laboratory Animal Breeders Association of Great Britain Limited and Laboratory Animal Science Association. *Laboratory Animals* 27, 93–107
- Leman AD, Straw BE, Mengeling WC, D'Allaire S, Taylor DJ (1996) *Diseases of Swine*. Ames, Iowa: Iowa State University Press
- Pensaert MB (1989) Virus Infections of Porcines. Amsterdam: Elsevier Science Publishers BV
- Quinn PJ, Carter ME, Markey B, Carter GR (1994) Clinical Veterinary Microbiology. London: Mosby-Wolfe Publishing
- Rehbinder C, Hansen AK, eds (1993) The importance of health monitoring in laboratory animals. Scandinavian Journal of Laboratory Animal Science 20(1) Special issue on health monitoring
- Rehbinder C, Baneux P, Forbes D, van Herck H, Nicklas W, Rugaya Z, Winkler G (1996) FELASA recommendations for the health monitoring of mouse, rat, hamster, gerbil, guineapig and rabbit experimental units. *Laboratory Animals* 30, 193– 208
- Sherding RG (1989) The Cat Diseases and Clinical Management, Vol 2. New York: Churchill Livingstone
- Simpson JW, Else RW (1991) Digestive Disease in the Dog and Cat. Oxford: Blackwell Scientific Library of Veterinary Practice
- Spiegel A, Erichson S, Solleveld HA (1980) Animal Quality and Models in Biomedical Research. 7th ICLAS Symposium Utrecht (1979). Stuttgart, New York: Gustav Fischer
- Wallgren P (1993) Infections and Immune Functions of Swine in Fattening Herds. Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences. Sveriges lantbruksuniversitet, Uppsala
- Walton JR (1993) A Handbook of Pig Diseases, 3rd rev. edn. UK: Liverpool University Press
- Working Committee for the biological characterization of laboratory animals GV/SOLAS (1985) Guidelines for specification of animals and husbandry methods when reporting the results of animal experiments. *Laboratory Animals* 19, 106–8

FELASA-APPROVED HEALTH MONITORING REPORT Name and address of the breeder: Unit No: Current test date: Date of issue: Species: Cat Breed: HISTORICAL **CURRENT TEST** results results pos/tested pos/tested LABORATORY METHOD **VIRAL INFECTIONS** Feline calicivirus Feline immunodeficiency virus (FIV) Feline infectious peritonitis virus (coronavirus, FIP) Feline intestinal coronavirus Feline leukaemia virus (FeLV) Feline parvovirus Feline rhinotracheitis virus Rotavirus VIRAL INFECTIONS TO BE MONITORED ON REQUEST

FELASA-APPROVED HEALTH MONITORING REPORT

Name and address of the breeder:					
Date of issue:		Unit No:	Current test date:		
Species: Cat Bi	reed:				
		HISTORICAL results pos/tested	CURRENT TEST results pos/tested	LABORATORY	METHOD
BACTERIAL AND FU	JNGAL INFEC	TIONS			
Bartonella spp.					
Bordetella bronchi	septica				
Campylobacter sp	D .				
Chlamydia psittaci					
Microsporum spp.					-
Pasteurellaceae					
Salmonella spp.					
Staphylococcus sp associated with					
4 - Valorita de la constanta d					
Streptococci beta- haemolytic sero	group G				
Trichophyton spp.					
Yersinia enterocol	itica		·		
BACTERIAL AND FU	JNGAL INFEC	TIONS TO BE MONI	TORED ON REQUEST		
Helicobacter spp.			·		
		<u></u>			
		<u></u>			

	ess of the breede	Name and address of the breeder:					
Date of issue:		Unit No:	Current test date:				
Species: Cat	Breed:						
		HISTORICAL results pos/tested	CURRENT TEST results pos/tested	LABORATORY	METHOD		
PARASITIC INFE	CTIONS						
All arthropods	_						
All heminths	_						
Eperythrozoon	- felis		<u> </u>				
Haemobartone Isospora spp.	lla felis –	-					
Sarcocystis Toxoplasma gor	ndii						
PARASITIC INFE	CTIONS TO BE MO	NITORED ON REQUE	:ST				
	-	•	<u> </u>				
	-						
	-						
PATHOLOGICAL	LESIONS OBSERV	ED					
Organ: ——— Organ: ———	——— Lesions: – ——— Lesions: –						
Organ: ——— Organ: ——— Organ: ———	Lesions: – Lesions: – Lesions: –						
Organ: ———— Organ: ———— Organ: ———— Organ: ————	Lesions: - Lesions: - Lesions: - Lesions: -						
Organ: ———— Organ: ———— Organ: ———— Organ: ———	Lesions: - Lesions: - Lesions: - Lesions: - Lesions: -						
Organ: ———— Organ: ———— Organ: ———— Organ: ———	Lesions: - Lesions: - Lesions: - Lesions: - Lesions: -						
Organ: ————————————————————————————————————	Lesions: - Lesions: - Lesions: - Lesions: - Lesions: -						
Organ: ————————————————————————————————————	Lesions: - Lesions: - Lesions: - Lesions: - Lesions: - Lesions: -						

FELASA-APPROVED HEALTH MONITORING REPORT Name and address of the breeder: Date of issue: Unit No: Current test date: Species: Dog Breed: HISTORICAL **CURRENT TEST** results results pos/tested pos/tested LABORATORY METHOD **VIRAL INFECTIONS** Canine adenovirus type 1 (HCC) Canine distemper virus Canine parainfluenza virus Canine parvovirus (CPV) VIRAL INFECTIONS TO BE MONITORED ON REQUEST **BACTERIAL AND FUNGAL INFECTIONS** Bordetella bronchiseptica Borrelia spp. Brucella canis Leptospira spp. Salmonella spp. Streptococci beta-haemolytic, serogroup G BACTERIAL AND FUNGAL INFECTIONS TO BE MONITORED ON REQUEST

FELASA-APPROVED HEALTH MONITORING REPORT					
Name and address of the breede	er:				
Date of issue:	Unit No:	Current test date:			
Species: Dog Breed:					
	HISTORICAL results pos/tested	CURRENT TEST results pos/tested	LABORATORY	METHOD	
PARASITIC INFECTIONS					
All arthropods					
(Demodex sp. only when associated with lesions)					
All helminths					
	<u> </u>				
Coccidiae					
Giardia spp.					
Haemobartonella canis					
PARASITIC INFECTIONS TO BE MC	NITORED ON REQUI	EST			
	•				
<u> </u>		-			
PATHOLOGICAL LESIONS OBSERV	ED				
Organ: — Lesions: -					
Organ: — Lesions: –					
Organ: Lesions: -					
Organ: — Lesions: –					
ABBREVIATIONS FOR LABORATOR					
Standard operating procedures of	an be obtained fro	m -			

FELASA-APPROVED HEALTH MONITORING REPORT					
Name and address of the breeder:					
Date of issue:	Unit No:	Current test date:			
Species: Pig Breed:					
	HISTORICAL results pos/tested	CURRENT TEST results pos/tested	LABORATORY	METHOD	
VIRAL INFECTIONS	30000				
African swine fever					
Aujeszky disease virus (pseudorabie	es)				
Classical swine fever (hog cholera)		_			
Encephalomyocarditis virus					
Haemagglutinating encephalomyelitis					
Porcine cytomegalovirus (inclusion body rhinitis)				····	
Porcine epidemic diarrhoea (when associated with disease)					
Porcine influenza (H1N1, H3N2)					
Porcine parvovirus					
Porcine respiratory coronavirus					
Porcine reproductive and respirator syndrome (PRRS)	ту ———				
Porcine rotavirus					
SMEDI					
Teschen/Talfan virus	****	_			
Transmissible gastroenteritis (TGE)				~	
VIRAL INFECTIONS TO BE MONITORE	ED ON REQUEST A	ND WHEN PRESENT IN 1	THE COUNTRY		

FELASA-APPROVED HEALTH MONITORING REPORT						
Name and address of the breeder:						
Date of issue:	Unit No:	Current test date:				
Species: Pig Breed:						
	HISTORICAL results pos/tested	CURRENT TEST results pos/tested	LABORATORY	METHOD		
BACTERIAL, MYCOPŁASMAL INFEC	TIONS		•			
Actinobacillus pleuropneumoniae Bordetella bronchiseptica Erysipelothrix rhusiopathiae Eubacterium (Corynebacterium su Haemophilus parasuis Leptospira spp. Mycoplasma hyopneumoniae Pasteurella multocida (toxin producing)	is)					
Salmonella spp.						
Staphylococcus hyicus Streptococci beta-haemolytic Streptococcus suis Yersinia enterocolitica						
BACTERIAL, MYCOPLASMAL AND F	UNGAL INFECTION	S TO BE MONITORED O	N REQUEST			

FELASA-APPROVED HEALTH MONITORING REPORT Name and address of the breeder: Date of issue: Unit No: Current test date: Species: Pig Breed: HISTORICAL LATEST TEST results results pos/tested LABORATORY pos/tested **METHOD** PARASITIC INFECTIONS All helminths Eimeria spp. Isospora spp. Sarcoptes (other arthropods when associated with disease) PARASITIC INFECTIONS TO BE MONITORED ON REQUEST PATHOLOGICAL LESIONS OBSERVED Organ: ----- Lesions: ------——— Lesions: — Organ: — Lesions: — Organ: — Lesions: — Organ: — Lesions: — ABBREVIATIONS FOR LABORATORIES

Standard operating procedures can be obtained from ——